

## COURSE UNIT (MODULE) DESCRIPTION

| Course unit (module) title | Code |
|----------------------------|------|
| International Public Law   |      |

| Lecturer(s)                        | Department(s) where the course unit (module) is delivered    |
|------------------------------------|--|
| Coordinator: lect. Inga Martinkutė | Department of Public Law, Faculty of Law, Vilnius University |
| Other(s):                          | Saulėtekio av. 9, Building 1, Room 405, LT-10222, Vilnius    |
|                                    | tel. (8 5) 2366175, e-mail: vtkatedra@tf.vu.lt               |

| Study cycle |               | Type of the course unit (module) |  |  |
|-------------|---------------|----------------------------------|--|--|
|             | First, Second | Optional                         |  |  |

| Mode of delivery | Period when the course unit (module) is delivered | Language(s) of instruction |
|------------------|---|----------------------------|
| Face-to-face     | Autumn and Spring semesters                       | English                    |

| Requirements for students |                                     |  |  |  |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Prerequisites: -          | Additional requirements (if any): - |  |  |  |

| Course (module) volume in | Total student's workload | Contact hours | Self-study hours |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|------------------|
| credits                   |                          |               |                  |
| 5                         | 125                      | 32            | 93               |

## Purpose of the course unit (module): programme competences to be developed

To develop the understanding of international public law, its main principles, branches and institutes, relation with national and EU law systems. The course aims at training of application of theoretical knowledge in practice, developing of analytical thinking. Students should be able to demonstrate knowledge about the system of international public law and the trends of its development, explain and apply the norms of public international law, analyse the practice of International Court of Justice and national courts.

| Learning outcomes of the course unit (module)  | Teaching and learning methods   | Assessment methods   |
|--|---|--|
| Ability to demonstrate deep and systematic knowledge and understanding of the nature and functioning of Public International Law; ability to apply theoretical knowledge of public international law in practice.  Ability to understand and interpret the sources of Public International Law and apply the norms and principles determined in sources in order to support the decisions and opinions of courts or other international institutions.  An improved ability to evaluate the actions and activities of countries, its institutions and representatives in the context of international relations.  An improved ability to analyse, comprehend and evaluate case-law of Public International Law.  Ability to comment on different use of force situations from a legal viewpoint and implement main principles of Public International Law in other cases. | An interactive method of teaching during lectures, comparative assessment and systemic analysis of legal norms, case studies, brainstorming, discussions during seminars, individual studies. | Class Participation - 20%; Essay and Presentation - 40% & Final Written Assignment - 40% |
| An improved ability to identify, retrieve and use the full range of library-based and electronic resources   |   |  |
| efficiently and autonomously.  |   |  |

|  | Contact hours |           |          | Self-study work: time and assignments |                 |                 |               |                  |  |
|--|---------------|-----------|----------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------|--|
| Content: breakdown of the topics   |               | Futorials | Seminars | Exercises                             | Laboratory work | Internship/work | Contact hours | Self-study hours | Assignments  |
| 1. Introduction to the lecturer and fellow students. Explanation of the organisation of the course, assignments. The nature of public international law: between legalism and realism. The system and history of international law | 2             | •         |          |                                       |                 |                 | 2             |                  | Reading of scholarly<br>literature, international<br>documents and case law                                    |
| 2. Actors of international law: states, international organisations and individuals  | 4             |           |          |                                       |                 |                 | 4             | 10               | Reading of scholarly<br>literature, international<br>documents and case law                                    |
| 3. Sources of international law: treaties, customary law, general principles, <i>ius cogens</i> , natural law. Interpretation  | 4             |           | 2        |                                       |                 |                 | 6             | 15               | Reading of scholarly<br>literature, international<br>documents and case law,<br>presentation and<br>discussion |
| 4. The relation between national and international law. Sovereignty, jurisdiction and immunity   | 2             |           |          |                                       |                 |                 | 2             | 10               | Reading of scholarly<br>literature, international<br>documents and case law,<br>presentation and<br>discussion |
| 5. Use of force, non-intervention, self-determination, recognition. Humanitarian law. International criminal law.  | 2             |           | 2        |                                       |                 |                 | 4             | 8                | Reading of scholarly<br>literature, international<br>documents and case law,<br>presentation and<br>discussion |
| 6. Human rights, environment   | 2             |           |          |                                       |                 |                 | 2             | 10               | Reading of scholarly<br>literature, international<br>documents and case law,<br>presentation and<br>discussion |
| 7. Treaty law  | 2             |           | 2        |                                       |                 |                 | 4             | 10               | Reading of scholarly<br>literature, international<br>documents and case law<br>presentation and<br>discussion  |
| 8. State responsibility  | 2             |           |          |                                       |                 |                 | 2             | 10               | Reading of scholarly<br>literature, international<br>documents and case law,<br>presentation and<br>discussion |
| 9. International economic law, development and multinational corporations  | 2             |           | 2        |                                       |                 |                 | 4             | 10               | Reading of scholarly<br>literature, international<br>documents and case law,<br>presentation and<br>discussion |
| 10. Dispute resolution: courts and procedure   | 2             |           |          |                                       |                 |                 | 2             | 10               | Reading of scholarly<br>literature, international<br>documents and case law,<br>presentation and<br>discussion |
| Total  | 24            |           | 8        |                                       |                 |                 | 32            | 93               |  |

| Assessment strategy         | Weight,% | Deadline   | Assessment criteria   |
|-----------------------------|----------|------------|---|
| Participation in the class, | 20       | During     | Active participation in the discussions. Maximum evaluation: 2        |
| educated interventions and  |          | semester   | points.   |
| discussions on the basis of |          |            | The evaluation will be based on the following: the fact that the      |
| reading materials           |          |            | intervention of the student is to the point, the relevance of the     |
|                             |          |            | arguments raised, and the fact that the arguments presented are       |
|                             |          |            | logic, coherent, persuasive supported by the evidence from the        |
|                             |          |            | reading materials.  |
| Essay and Presentation -    | 40       | November / | Students are expected to pick a topic for the essay, discuss the      |
| 40%                         |          | April      | choice of the topic with the lecturer and have it approved.           |
|                             |          |            | Students are encouraged to share the outline of the essay well in     |
|                             |          |            | advance before the submission deadline. Students will be asked        |
|                             |          |            | to make presentations of their papers.                                |
|                             |          |            | Maximum evaluation: 4 points.   |
| Written examination         | 40       | December / | Written essay-type answers to 2 questions. The questions will         |
|                             |          | May        | involve both theoretical and practical aspects discussed during       |
|                             |          |            | the course.   |
|                             |          |            | Maximum exam evaluation – <b>4 points</b> .                           |
|                             |          |            | The evaluation system is the following:                               |
|                             |          |            | 4 points: excellent knowledge of scholarly literature, outstanding    |
|                             |          |            | analytical and critical abilities. The answers to the questions raise |
|                             |          |            | interesting and, possibly, original points, the student develops      |
|                             |          |            | own thoughts on the relevant issues, the arguments are presented      |
|                             |          |            | in a complete, clear, coherent and logic manner. The answers are      |
|                             |          |            | well-structured and the arguments are easy to follow.                 |
|                             |          |            | <b>3points:</b> good, educated answers supported by sufficient        |
|                             |          |            | evidence, showing a good command of legal materials. Student          |
|                             |          |            | correctly identifies legal issues and algorithm for resolving the     |
|                             |          |            | problem. Language is clear.   |
|                             |          |            | <b>2 points:</b> average knowledge and abilities. The arguments       |
|                             |          |            | provided in the answers could be understood, but they are not         |
|                             |          |            | fully clear and persuasive.   |
|                             |          |            | 1 point: knowledge and abilities are below average, but they still    |
|                             |          |            | meet minimum requirements. The answers to the questions               |
|                             |          |            | consist of basic ideas, the arguments are mentioned, but not          |
|                             |          |            | developed, major mistakes are present.                                |
|                             |          |            | <b>0 points:</b> failure to meet minimum requirements. The answers to |
|                             |          |            | the questions miss the point or are completely underdeveloped.        |

| Author   | Year<br>of<br>public<br>ation  |  | Issue of a periodical or volume of a publication | Publishing place and house or web link        |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Compulsory reading   |  |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| SHAW, Malcolm  | 2017   | International Law  |  | Cambridge University Press                    |  |  |  |
| LOWE, Vaughan  | 2007   | International Law  |  | Oxford University Press                       |  |  |  |
| CRAWFORD, James  | 2012   | Brownlie's Principles of Public<br>International Law                                     |  | Oxford University Press                       |  |  |  |
| EVANS, M. D.   | 2003   | International Law  |  | Oxford University Press                       |  |  |  |
| KOSKENNIEMI, Martti  | 2001   | The Gentle Civilizer of Nations:<br>The Rise and Fall of<br>International Law 1870–1960. |  | Cambridge University Press                    |  |  |  |
| DIXON, Martin  | 2013   | Textbook on International Law  |  | Oxford University Press                       |  |  |  |
| ·  |  |  |  | http://www.un.org/en/documents/index.html     |  |  |  |
| The works (draft articles) of the  | The works (draft articles) of the UN International Law Commission http://legal.un.org/ilc/ |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| Case law of the International Court of Justice http://www.icj-cij.org/homepage/index.p |  |  |  | http://www.icj-<br>cij.org/homepage/index.php |  |  |  |
| Optional reading   | Optional reading   |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| DUPUY, PM.   | 1993   | Droit international public   |  | Paris: Dalloz                                 |  |  |  |