#### International Conference

# Ensuring Uniform Judicial Practice: Forms and Challenges

September 4–5, 2025 Seimas of the Republic of Lithuania, Vilnius

This year, as we celebrate the 35th anniversary of the restoration of Lithuania's independence, we also mark many other significant anniversaries related to this historic date. Among them is the 30th anniversary of the reformed Lithuanian judicial system and the cassation competence of the Supreme Court of Lithuania. More than 25 years ago, the Supreme Court of Lithuania became the sole cassation court in the country responsible for ensuring the uniform interpretation and application of laws by courts of general jurisdiction. Its rulings are binding on all lower courts applying the interpreted law, as well as on any other relevant entities. In this role, the Supreme Court provides society with stability, legal certainty, predictability, and coherence. Its insights promote legislative development and reform and contribute significantly to shaping legal culture.

Ensuring uniform court practice is one of the fundamental requirements of the rule of law. A consistently developed, coherent, and substantive body of case law is a necessary condition for any law-based system. Meeting this condition ensures not only legal clarity and certainty but also enables the entire judicial system to function more efficiently, avoid conflicting interpretations of the law, harmonize positions on the most significant legal issues, and thereby contribute to upholding the ideal of the rule of law.

As the convergence between continental and common law traditions continues to expand, states are employing various tools to ensure consistent judicial practice: precedents, the doctrine of jurisprudence constante, advisory opinions, and the so-called preventive harmonization of legal interpretation, which includes both formal and informal forms of judicial cooperation, among others. The aim of this international conference is to present, review, and analyze these and other legal instruments used to ensure consistent interpretation and application of the law within a country - thus ensuring uniform judicial practice.

#### Organizational information:

Only individuals who have registered in advance may attend the conference.

Please arrive as early as possible and have your identification document with you.

Simultaneous interpretation into Lithuanian and English will be provided during the conference.

There will be no live broadcast.

If you have any questions about the conference, please contact j.zokaite@lat.lt

Organized by











#### Programme | Ensuring Uniform Judicial Practice: Forms and Challenges

#### Day One | September 4

8.30-9.20	Participant Registration, Coffee
9.20–9.50	Conference Opening Welcome Addresses by the Speaker of the Seimas of the Republic of Lithuania and the Acting Minister of Justice of the Republic of Lithuania Opening Remarks by the President of the Supreme Court of Lithuania and the Dean of the Faculty of Law, Vilnius University

9.50-10.20

**Opening Keynote** 

### Part 1 | Precedent as a Source of Legal Uniformity in the Practice of Supreme Courts

Prof. habil. Dr. Burkhard Hess, University of Vienna

of Supreme Courts		
10.20–11.00	Precedent as a Source of Law Harmonization in Supreme Court Practice Prof. Dr. Margaret Y. K. Woo, Northeastern University School of Law	
11.00-11.20	Coffee Break	
11.20–12.00	Introductory Remarks for the Discussion Moderator: Assoc. Prof. Dr. Eglė Zemlytė, Judge of the Supreme Court of Lithuania; Faculty of Law, Vilnius University	
	Precedent in the Lithuanian Legal System: Between Illusion and Reality Prof. habil. Dr. Valentinas Mikelėnas, Faculty of Law, Vilnius University	
	Precedent in Lithuanian Constitutional Doctrine Prof. Dr. (HP) Egidijus Kūris, Faculty of Law, Vilnius University	
	The Absence of Precedent in the Civil Law Tradition?  Prof. Dr. Mr. Remme Verkerk, Utrecht University	
	Court of Justice of the European Union: Court of Precedent?  Dr. Rimvydas Norkus, Advocate General at the Court of Justice of the European Union	
12.00-13.00	Continuation of the Discussion and Audience Q&A	
13 00-14 00	Lunch Break	

## Part 2 | Preliminary Rulings in the Supreme Court: Comparative Aspects and Future Perspectives

14.00–14.40 The Dutch Preliminary Ruling Procedure

Prof. Dr. Bart Krans, Leiden University

14.40-15.05 Coffee Break

(11)

15.05–15.45 Introductory Remarks for the Discussion

Moderator: Assist. Prof. Dr. Jurgis Bartkus, Faculty of Law, Vilnius University

The Netherlands: May We Have Your Votes, Please?

Martijn Polak, Vice President and Chairman of the Civil Law Chamber of the Hoge

Raad der Nederlanden; Vice President of the Benelux Court of Justice

The Court's Right to Ask and the Constitution

Prof. habil. Dr. Vytautas Nekrošius, Academician, Professor at the Faculty of Law, Vilnius University

Preliminary Questions in the Supreme Court: A New Method in Finland

Dr. jur. Tatu Leppänen, President of the Supreme Court of Finland

Preliminary Questions as an Instrument of Judicial Supervision by the Supreme

Court in the Polish Legal System

Dr. Aleksandra Klich, Faculty of Law and Administration, University of Szczecin

15.45–16.45 Continuation of the Discussion and Audience O&A

### Day Two | September 5

8.30 – 9.30	Participant Registration, Coffee		
Part 3   Other Forms of Achieving Consistent Judicial Practice			
9.30 – 10.15	The Different Ways of Ensuring the Consistency of Case Law in Civil Law Jurisdictions Prof. habil. Dr. Aleš Galič, University of Ljubljana		
10.15 – 11.05	Introductory Remarks for the Discussion Moderator: Assoc. Prof. Dr. Danguolė Bublienė, President of the Supreme Court of Lithuania		
	Achieving Uniform Application of Law – the Swedish experience Anders Eka, President of the Supreme Court of Sweden and President of the Network of the Presidents of the Supreme Judicial Courts of the EU (NPSJC-EU)		
	Instruments of the Supreme Court of Latvia Ensuring Uniform Application of Law Aigars Strupišs, President of the Supreme Court of Latvia		
	Other Forms of Achieving Consistent Judicial Practice: Estonian example Nele Siitam, Justice of the Administrative Law Chamber of the Supreme Court of Estonia		
	Other Methods of Ensuring Uniformity of Court Practice: The Lithuanian Experience Dr. Artūras Driukas, Chair of the Civil Cases Division of the Supreme Court of Lithuania		
	The Uniformity of Judicial Practice and the Independence of the Judiciary: Different Sides of the Same Principle of the Rule of Law		
	Dr. Irmantas Jarukaitis, Judge at the Court of Justice of the European Union; Professor at the Faculty of Law, Vilnius University		
11.05 – 12.00	Continuation of the Discussion and Audience Q&A		
12.00 – 12.10	Conference Closing		